

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOSE ANTONIO)	Case No. CV 10-02211 DMG (DTBx)
FRANCO-GONZALEZ, ET AL.,)	
)	PARTIAL JUDGMENT AND
Plaintiffs,)	PERMANENT INJUNCTION
v.)	
)	
ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., ATTORNEY)	
GENERAL, ET AL.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

In accordance with the Order issued concurrently herewith, the Court **GRANTS** in part and **DENIES** in part Plaintiffs’ motion for partial summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court orders the following:

(1) Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs Martinez, Khukhryanskiy, Chavez, Zhalezny, and other members of Sub-Class One, and against Defendants, on Count Four. Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs Martinez, Khukhryanskiy, Zhalezny, Sepulveda, and other members of Sub-Class Two, on Count Eight;

1 (2) The Court hereby declares that Defendants have violated Section 504 of the
2 Rehabilitation Act by failing to provide Sub-Class One members with a reasonable
3 accommodation, i.e., a Qualified Representative in all aspects of their immigration
4 proceedings;

5 (3) The Court further declares that Defendants have violated the Immigration
6 and Nationality Act by failing to provide Sub-Class Two members with a bond hearing
7 after a presumptively reasonable period of 180 days in detention at which the
8 Government bears the burden of justifying continued detention by clear and convincing
9 evidence;

10 (4) Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys,
11 and all those who are in active concert or participation with them, are hereby enjoined
12 from pursuing further immigration proceedings against Plaintiffs Martinez,
13 Khukhryanskiy, Chavez, Zhalezny, and other members of Sub-Class One, who have been
14 identified on or before the date of this Order and Judgment, unless within 60 days from
15 the date of this Order and Judgment, Sub-Class One members are afforded Qualified
16 Representative(s) as defined in the concurrently issued Order who are willing and able to
17 represent them during all phases of their immigration proceedings, including appeals
18 and/or custody hearings, whether *pro bono* or at Defendants' expense;

19 (5) Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys,
20 and all those who are in active concert or participation with them, are hereby enjoined
21 from detaining members of Sub-Class Two unless, within 40 days of this Order and
22 Judgment, they provide Sub-Class Two members with a bond hearing before an
23 Immigration Judge with the authority to order their release on conditions of supervision,
24 unless the Government shows by clear and convincing evidence that their ongoing
25 detention is justified. Within 30 days from the date of this Order and Judgment, members
26 of Sub-Class Two who are also members of Sub-Class One shall be provided a Qualified
27 Representative to represent them during such bond hearing. In all cases, at least seven
28 days prior to any bond hearing, Defendants shall provide these sub-class members and/or

1 their Qualified Representatives with adequate notice of a bond hearing scheduled
2 pursuant to this Order and Judgment.

3 (6) For all individuals identified as Sub-Class One members after the date of
4 this Order and Judgment, Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees and
5 attorneys, and all those who are in active concert or participation with them, are hereby
6 enjoined from pursuing further immigration proceedings against these Sub-Class One
7 members unless, within 60 days of their having been identified by an Immigration Judge
8 as a Sub-Class One member, such individuals are afforded Qualified Representatives who
9 are willing and able to represent them during all phases of their immigration proceedings,
10 including appeals and/or custody hearings, whether *pro bono* or at Defendants' expense;

11 (7) For individuals identified as Sub-Class Two members after the date of this
12 Order and Judgment, Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees and
13 attorneys, and all those who are in active concert or participation with them, are hereby
14 enjoined from detaining newly identified Sub-Class Two members for more than 180
15 days unless they provide such sub-class members with a bond hearing before an
16 Immigration Judge with the authority to order their release on conditions of supervision,
17 unless the Government shows by clear and convincing evidence that the Sub-Class Two
18 members' ongoing detention is justified. Such hearing shall be provided as soon as is
19 practicable, but no more than 15 days, after the Sub-Class Two member has been
20 detained for 180 days. All future members of Sub-Class Two who are also identified as
21 members of Sub-Class One shall be provided with a Qualified Representative to represent
22 them during such bond hearing.

23 (8) For purposes of this order, the requirement that all Sub-Class One Members
24 be afforded a Qualified Representative will be deemed satisfied only if at least one
25 Qualified Representative has entered a notice of appearance, in the form of a Form
26 EOIR-27 or EOIR-28, in every forum in which the Sub-Class One member is eligible to
27 have proceedings. The Sub-Class One member may be represented by the same or a
28 different Qualified Representative in each forum, so long as a Qualified Representative

1 has entered an appearance in each forum in which the Sub-Class One member is eligible
2 to have proceedings.


3 (9) That a Sub-Class Two member has had a prior bond hearing shall not be
4 sufficient to satisfy the requirements of this Order and Judgment unless that bond hearing
5 complied with the requirements of *Singh v. Holder*, 638 F.3d 1196 (9th Cir. 2011). For
6 Sub-Class Two members who are also Sub-Class One members, the individual must also
7 have been represented by a Qualified Representative at that hearing.

8 (10) Within 45 days of this Order and Judgment, Defendants shall submit to the
9 Court a plan and status report describing the steps taken to implement this Order and
10 Judgment and future plans for implementation, including (1) identification of current and
11 future class members and Sub-Class members, (2) provision of Qualified Representatives
12 for Sub-Class One members, and (3) provision of timely bond hearings as required by
13 this Order.

14 (11) This Court retains jurisdiction to entertain such further proceedings and to
15 enter such further orders as may be necessary or appropriate to implement and enforce
16 the provisions of this Order and Judgment.

17
18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19
20 DATED: April 23, 2013

21 
22 _____
23 DOLLY M. GEE
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26
27
28