1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
8			
9	ENRIQUE AHUMADA-MEZA,	No. 2:19-cv-1165	
10	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR	
11	v.	DECLARATORY RELIEF AND DAMAGES UNDER THE CIVIL	
12	CITY OF MARYSVILLE AND MATTHEW GOOLSBY, in his individual	RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 1983	
13	capacity,		
14	Defendants.		
15	I. INTRODUCTION		
16	1. The City of Marysville (the "Ci	ty") and Sergeant for the Marysville Police	
17	Department, Matthew Goolsby ("Goolsby," co	llectively "Defendants"), unlawfully held	
18 19	Plaintiff Enrique Ahumada-Meza ("Mr. Ahum	ada") overnight after he was ordered to be	
20	released on his personal recognizance, without	any lawful basis to seize him. Defendants	
21	based their unlawful detention solely on a deta	iner issued by the United States Department	
22	of Homeland Security ("DHS"). However, as s	several courts have recognized, DHS's	
23	immigration detainers do not provide state or le	ocal law enforcement officers with any	
24	authority to arrest or detain individuals for civil immigration violations.		
25	and the second of the second s		
26			
ı	1		

2. This lawsuit challenges the City's unlawful policy and practice of detaining individuals based solely on a request by immigration officials and without reasonable suspicion or probable cause of a crime. Defendants are each liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violating Mr. Ahumada's Fourth Amendment rights.

II. THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Enrique Ahumada-Meza is an individual residing in the State of Washington.
- 4. Defendant City of Marysville is a municipal corporation located in Snohomish County in the State of Washington. One division within the City is the Marysville Police Department Detention Division (the "Marysville Police"), which is responsible for the operation of the Marysville Detention Center.
- 5. Defendant Matthew Goolsby is a Sergeant in the Marysville Police. Mr. Goolsby is sued in his individual capacity.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This action arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States, including 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court therefore has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendants reside in this judicial district and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district.

IV. FACTS

A. The City's Contracts with Federal Agencies

- 8. The City contracts jail services for the Marysville Detention Center with the United States Customs and Border Protection and Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"), among other federal agencies.
- 9. None of the City's contracts with federal agencies direct or authorize the City to enforce federal immigration laws.
- 10. None of the City's contracts with federal agencies direct or authorize the City to detain or extend the detention of an individual solely based on suspicion of a civil immigration violation.

B. Defendants' Policies and Practices for Releasing Individuals

- 11. Chapter 36.16 of the Marysville Police's manual sets forth the policies and practices relating to the release of individuals from the Marysville Detention Center (the "Manual").
- 12. The Manual requires that in order to release an individual from the Marysville Detention Center, custody officers must receive proper authorization and employees must verify such authorization prior to release.
- 13. Release authorization includes verification "[t]hat there are no other holds[,] detainers, warrants, or commitments outstanding which would preclude release."
- 14. The Manual therefore requires custody officers and employees of the Marysville Detention Center to hold individuals on the basis of a hold, warrant, or detainer, including administrative immigration detainers or warrants.

1	41. Mr. Ahumada was released from immigration custody later that day after	
2	ICE verified that he had already been placed in removal proceedings.	
3	42. On July 25, 2018, the Marysville Court dismissed the assault charge	
4	against Mr. Ahumada without prejudice.	
567	V. CAUSE OF ACTION DETENTION IN VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Against Defendant City of Marysville and	
8	Defendant Matthew Goolsby, in his individual capacity)	
9	43. Mr. Ahumada realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-42 as if	
10	fully set forth herein.	
11	44. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 provides a civil cause of action to any person who is	
12	deprived of rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution, other federal law, or	
13	under color of State law.	
14	45. Defendants, through their policy or practice (or the policy or practice of	
15	another employee, officer, or agent of the City) of placing immigration holds on persons	
16 17	in the Marysville Detention Center who are otherwise entitled to release, deprived Mr.	
18	Ahumada of his right to be free from unreasonable seizures under the Fourth Amendment	
19	to the United States Constitution.	
20	46. Goolsby unlawfully deprived Mr. Ahumada of his liberty by continuing to	
21	detain him pursuant to the ICE detainer, despite the February 15 Order releasing Mr.	
22	Ahumada on his own recognizance.	
23	47. Mr. Ahumada suffered damages as a result of this deprivation of his rights	
24	in an amount to be proven at trial.	
25		
26	48. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Mr. Ahumada is	

1	further entitled to a declaration that this policy or practice deprived Mr. Ahumada of his	
2	right to be free from unreasonable seizures under the Fourth Amendment to the United	
3	States Constitution.	
4	49. Mr. Ahumada is further entitled to an award of his attorneys' fees pursuant	
5	to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.	
6	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
7		
8	WHEREFORE, Mr. Ahumada respectfully requests the following relief:	
9	A. A declaration that Defendants' policy and practice of maintaining custody	
10	of the subjects of immigration detainers without reasonable suspicion or probable cause	
11	and without a judicial warrant, after such individuals are ordered released by courts of	
12 13	competent jurisdiction, is unlawful and violated Mr. Ahumada's rights guaranteed under	
14	the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution;	
15	B. Monetary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;	
16	C. An award of Mr. Ahumada's costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees to the	
17	fullest extent permitted by applicable law; and	
18	D. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
19 20	DATED this 26th day of July, 2019.	
21	McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC	
22	By: s/Avi J. Lipman By: s/Curtis C. Isacke	
23	Avi J. Lipman, WSBA No. 37661	
24	Curtis C. Isacke, WSBA No. 49303	
25	600 University Street, Suite 2700 Seattle, Washington 98101	
26	Phone: (206) 467-1816 <u>alipman@mcnaul.com</u> <u>cisacke@mcnaul.com</u>	
	LAW OFFICES OF	

1	
2	NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT RIGHTS PROJECT
3	By s/ Matt Adams
4	By s/Leila Kang By s/Aaron Korthuis
5	Matt Adams, WSBA No. 28287 Leila Kang, WSBA No. 48048
6	Aaron Korthuis, WSBA No. 53974
7	615 Second Avenue, Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98104
8	Phone: (206) 957-8611 matt@nwirp.org
9	<u>leila@nwirp.org</u> aaron@nwirp.org
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
I	

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND DAMAGES UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Case No. _____) – Page 9

LAW OFFICES OF
MCNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC
600 University Street, Suite 2700
Seattle, Washington 98101-3143
(206) 467-1816